

# The **Vegetative State**

---

Medical facts, ethical and legal dilemmas

Bryan Jennett

---

University of Glasgow, Scotland

Foreword by Fred Plum



**CAMBRIDGE**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE  
The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK  
40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA  
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia  
Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain  
Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa  
<http://www.cambridge.org>

© Cambridge University Press 2002

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception  
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,  
no reproduction of any part may take place without  
the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2002  
Reprinted 2003

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

*Typeface* Minion 10.5/13pt *System* Poltype® [vN]

*A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library*

*Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data*

Jennett, Bryan.

The vegetative state: medical facts, ethical and legal dilemmas / Bryan Jennett.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0 521 44158 7

1. Persistent vegetative state. I. Title.

RB150.C6 J46 2002

616.8'49-dc21 2001037373

ISBN 0 521 44158 7 paperback

# Contents

<i>Foreword</i>	vii
<i>Preface</i>	ix
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xi
<i>An appeal to doctors</i>	xii
<i>Traumatic decortication</i>	xiii
<i>List of abbreviations</i>	xiv
<b>1 A syndrome in search of a name</b>	1
<b>2 Diagnosis</b>	7
<b>3 Epidemiology</b>	33
<b>4 Pathology of the brain damage</b>	51
<b>5 Prognosis for recovery and survival</b>	57
<b>6 Attitudes to the permanent vegetative state</b>	73
<b>7 Medical management</b>	87
<b>8 Ethical issues</b>	97
<b>9 Legal issues in the United States</b>	127
<b>10 Legal issues in Britain</b>	147
<b>11 Legal issues in other countries</b>	173
<b>12 Details of some landmark cases</b>	187
<i>Epilogue</i>	221
<i>Index</i>	223

## A syndrome in search of a name

When Jennett and Plum in 1972 coined the term persistent vegetative state, in a *Lancet* paper subtitled ‘A syndrome in search of a name’ (1), they were neither the first to describe this condition nor the first to propose a name. In 1899, Rosenblath had reported a 15-year-old tightrope walker who after two weeks in coma following a fall from his wire recovered ‘to become strangely awake’; he died after 8 months being tube fed in this state (2). In 1940, a German psychiatrist Kretschmer proposed the term **the apallic syndrome** to describe patients who were awake but unresponsive (3). As examples he described a case with a gunshot wound of both cerebral hemispheres and one of panencephalitis subacuta, thereby indicating that this state could result from either acute or chronic progressive brain damage. Although several authors in continental Europe have used this term (4) it has never caught on in English-speaking countries.

In 1952 an American neurosurgeon commented that when brain damage deprived patients of the intuitive and protective functions necessary for survival they rarely lived more than 2–3 weeks (5). However, he went on to describe five patients who had survived for months with periods of wakefulness without ever being aware, but he did not suggest a name for this state. In 1956, Strich reported the pathological findings in five cases from the Oxford Neurosurgical Unit who had what she called **severe traumatic dementia** (6). She commented on the similarity between the severe white matter degeneration that she found and that previously reported by Rosenblath in his case. Since then others have used the term **post-traumatic dementia** or **encephalopathy**, but these terms have never acquired the strict definition now associated with the vegetative state. In fact, in her expanded series of 20 such patients examined pathologically, Strich noted that several had spoken a few words and some had even obeyed commands during the stage of partial recovery before they died (7). Similarly, those using the apallic label frequently referred to partial or

incomplete forms of the syndrome (8). By contrast, Jennett and Plum recommended an absolute distinction between patients who did not make any consistently understandable response to those around them, whether by word or by gesture, and those who never did. The former should be regarded as very severely disabled and not as in a lesser degree of the vegetative state. Recently the terms **minimally responsive state** (9) or **minimally conscious state** (10) have emerged to describe those patients who have regained very limited conscious responses (p. 23).

The terms **permanent, irreversible or prolonged coma** or **unconsciousness** have been used at various times to describe vegetative patients, and still sometimes appear in articles (or headlines) by journalists. However, physicians now generally accept that **coma** should be confined to describing patients whose eyes are continuously closed and who cannot be aroused to a wakeful state. Of course many patients in a vegetative state following an acute insult will have been in coma for some time before regaining wakefulness, although some nontraumatic cases may become vegetative after only a day or so in coma. This is because there has been no element of widespread temporary depression of the reticular activating system that is a consistent feature of severe head injury. **Unconsciousness** is taken to imply lack of awareness of the self or the environment. The President's Commission in 1983 accepted several types of patient as having permanent loss of consciousness (11). These included those in a vegetative state (duration undefined), those in coma from acute brain damage till death, in coma from untreatable mass lesions or in the end stage of degenerative conditions such as Alzheimer's disease, and infants with anencephaly. Both patients in coma and those in a vegetative state are unconscious but this term fails to distinguish between the two because it does not acknowledge that arousal and awareness can be independently affected. Some have suggested that vegetative patients be described as having prolonged **post-traumatic unawareness** (12) or **postcomatose unawareness** (13).

These patients have sometimes been described as in a **decerebrate** or **decorticate state**. These terms are most often used to describe types of motor dysfunction rather than the mental state implied by the term vegetative. Moreover, these terms tend to imply structural lesions that do not correspond to the pathological findings in all vegetative patients. Physiologists commonly use decerebration to describe the state of animals after upper brain stem transection and this would be anatomically misleading when applied to patients in the vegetative state.

**Coma vigilé** was sometimes used in the older French literature to describe some patients with severe typhus and typhoid fever, and although it is neatly descriptive of one aspect of the vegetative state it does not adequately encompass the syndrome as a whole (14).

**Akinetic mutism** was coined by Cairns et al. in 1941 (15) to describe the intermittent depression of consciousness observed in an adolescent with a brain tumour (craniopharyngioma). Her condition was one of silent immobility, with the eyes open and apparently attentive, and ‘giving the promise of speech’ – indeed she sometimes did whisper in monosyllables. Her state was three times reversed by aspirating fluid from the tumour. A subsequent review by Skultety (16) found this term to be used rather loosely to describe reversible disorders of responsiveness in which akinesia and mutism did not always go together – some patients spoke or used sign language. Nor were the limbs in the spastic posture associated with the vegetative state. He ascribed it to functional depression of critical amounts of the afferent or efferent systems, or of the activating reticular formation. Again, the term is descriptive of only part of the behaviour of vegetative patients and it is not an acceptable synonym.

**Neocortical necrosis** is a pathological term that applies only to the subset of vegetative patients who have suffered anoxic or hypoglycaemic damage resulting in loss of cortical neurones. The term **cognitive death** has some attraction in that it invites comparison with, but a distinction from, brain death, but the term death implies irreversibility.

The phrase **pie vegetative** was used by Arnaud et al. in 1963 to describe some survivors of head injury (17), and **vegetative survival** was one outcome category for severe head injuries reported by the Finnish neurosurgeons Vapalahti and Troupp in 1971 (18), but their condition was not clearly defined. The term persistent vegetative state (PVS) came the following year, with arguments that it was preferable to all previous names (1). Its acceptance into medical terminology in many countries probably owes much to its being one of the four categories of survival in the Glasgow Outcome Scale proposed by Jennett and Bond in 1975 (19). This scale has been widely adopted by neurosurgeons and neurologists for reporting the outcome in survivors of either traumatic or nontraumatic coma.

Persistent vegetative state (PVS) was recommended as the term of choice in the 1993 report of the American Neurological Association (20) and in the 1994 statement of the Multi-Society Task Force (21), and it has been widely adopted also by philosophers, lawyers and others outside medicine. As Jennett and Plum (1) stated, the word vegetative itself is not obscure. **To**

**vegetate** is defined in the Oxford English dictionary as ‘to live a merely physical life, devoid of intellectual activity or social intercourse (1740)’, and **vegetative** is used to describe ‘an organic body capable of growth and development but devoid of sensation and thought (1764)’. It suggests even to the layman a limited and primitive responsiveness to external stimuli, whilst it reminds the doctor that there is relative preservation of autonomic regulation of the internal milieu of the body.

In seeking a name for this syndrome we wished to have one that did not presume a particular anatomical abnormality or pathological lesion because these vary considerably from case to case and can seldom be known with certainty at the bedside. A term that described behaviour seemed appropriate as the essence of the definition is observed behaviour, and is independent of special investigations that may not always be available, and that in any event do not show consistent abnormalities in vegetative patients (pp. 25–8). As our intention was to provide a term that would facilitate communication about this state between doctors and the patient’s relatives, moralists and lawyers it seemed advantageous to have one that avoided medical jargon. Moreover such a broad descriptive term, indicating only absence of observed cognitive function, invited further clinical and pathological investigations rather than giving the impression of a problem already fully understood.

In recent years there has, however, been increasing concern about the ambiguity of the ‘persistent’ component of this term because it may seem to suggest irreversibility, although Jennett and Plum had made it clear that this should not be implied. Recovery of varying degrees after weeks and sometimes months in a vegetative state is now widely recognized, but confusion is evident in occasional statements that the diagnosis of PVS cannot be made until a year after an acute brain insult. This is to confuse diagnosis with prognosis. There is no doubt that the label PVS in the first few weeks after a brain insult can result in suboptimal rehabilitation efforts at a stage when active treatment is important, because recovery is still possible. For this reason expert groups in the US and Europe have suggested using only the terms ‘vegetative state’ and ‘permanent vegetative state’ (22,23,24,25). Indeed, the authoritative code of practice published by the Royal College of Physicians of London in 1996 was titled ‘The permanent vegetative state’ (25). This recommended using ‘the vegetative state’ for the condition soon after the insult, the ‘continuing vegetative state’ when it had lasted for more than four weeks and ‘permanent vegetative state’ when

it was considered to have become irreversible (by agreed criteria). In the rest of this book PVS will be used only to refer to the permanent vegetative state, but it must be accepted that this abbreviation is still widely used to mean persistent rather than permanent.

Some commentators, including the Pro-Life Committee of Catholic Bishops in the US (26), have expressed concern that the word vegetative can suggest that the patient is a vegetable and therefore subhuman, and they have urged the medical profession to seek a less discriminatory and demeaning alternative. Several physicians share this concern and some US and UK experts have suggested as an alternative 'the wakeful unconscious state' (13). They did so without much confidence that the term vegetative state was likely to be replaced because it is now so widely used by many different disciplines.

## REFERENCES

- 1 Jennett B, Plum F. Persistent vegetative state after brain damage. A syndrome in search of a name. *Lancet* 1972; 1: 734–7
- 2 Rosenblath W. Uber einen bemerkenswerten Fall von Hirnerschutterung. *Dtsch Arch Klin Med* 1899; 64: 406–24
- 3 Kretschmer E. Das apallische syndrom. *Z Gesante Neurol Psychiatr, Berlin* 1940; 169: 576–9
- 4 Ore GD, Gerstenbrand F, Lucking CH. *The Apallic Syndrome*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1977
- 5 French JD. Brain lesions associated with prolonged unconsciousness. *Arch Neurol Psychiatry* 1952; 68: 727–40
- 6 Strich SJ. Diffuse degeneration of cerebral white matter and severe dementia following head injury. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1956; 19: 163–85
- 7 Strich SJ. Shearing of nerve fibres as a cause of brain damage due to head injury. *Lancet* 1961; 2: 444–8
- 8 Gerstenbrand F. *Das traumatische apallische syndrom*. Vienna and New York: Springer 1967
- 9 Giacino JT, Zasler ND. Outcome following severe brain injury. The comatose, vegetative and minimally responsive patient. *J Head Trauma Rehabil* 1995; 10: 40–56
- 10 Giacino J, Ashwal S, Childs N et al. The minimally conscious state: definition and diagnostic criteria. *Neurology* 2001; 57: in press
- 11 President's Commission for the Study of Ethical Problems in Medicine. *Deciding to*



- forego life-sustaining treatment*. Washington DC: US Government Printing Office, 1983
- 12 Sazbon L, Groswasser Z. Outcome in 134 patients with prolonged post-traumatic unawareness. Part 1: Parameters determining late recovery of consciousness. *J Neurosurg* 1990; 72: 75–80
  - 13 Sazbon L, Groswasser Z. Prolonged coma, vegetative state, post-comatose unawareness: semantics or better understandings? *Brain Injury* 1995; 5: 1–2
  - 14 Alajouanine T. Les altérations des états de conscience causes par les désordres neurologiques. *Acta Med Belgium* 1957; 2: 19–41
  - 15 Cairns H, Oldfield RC, Pennybacker JB et al. Akinetic mutism with an epidermoid cyst of the third ventricle. *Brain* 1941; 64: 273–90
  - 16 Skultety FM. Clinical and experimental aspects of akinetic mutism. *Arch Neurol* 1968; 17: 1–148
  - 17 Arnaud M, Vigouroux R, Vigouroux M. États frontières entre la vie et la mort en neuro-traumatologie. *Neurochirurgia (Stuttg)* 1963; 6: 1–21
  - 18 Vapalahti M, Troupp H. Prognosis for patients with severe head injuries. *BMJ* 1971; iii: 404–7
  - 19 Jennett B, Bond M. Assessment of outcome after severe brain damage. A practical scale. *Lancet* 1975; 1: 480–84
  - 20 American Neurological Association Committee on Ethical Affairs. Persistent vegetative state. *Ann Neurol* 1993; 33: 386–90
  - 21 The Multi-Society Task Force on the Persistent Vegetative State. Statement on medical aspects of the persistent vegetative state. *N Engl J Med* 1994; 330: 1499–508
  - 22 American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine. Recommendations for use of uniform nomenclature pertinent to patients with severe alterations of consciousness. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1995; 76: 205–9
  - 23 Zasler ND. Nomenclature: evolving trends. *NeuroRehabilitation* 1996; 6: 3–8
  - 24 Health Council of the Netherlands Committee on Vegetative State. *Patients in a vegetative state*. The Hague, Health Council of the Netherlands, 1994
  - 25 Royal College of Physicians Working Group. The permanent vegetative state. *J R Coll Physicians Lond* 1996; 30: 119–21
  - 26 Committee for Pro-Life Activities of the National Conference of Catholic Bishops. Nutrition and hydration: moral and pastoral reflections. *Issues Law Med* 1992; 8: 387–406